1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	DANIEL MARCUS HARRIS,
8	Petitioner, No. CIV S-05-0242 GEB JFM P
9	VS.
10	DARREL ADAMS, Warden, et al.,
11	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
12	/
13	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
14	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d
15	453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
16	any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing
17	§ 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
18	served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's June 21, 2005 motion
20	for appointment of counsel is denied.
21	DATED: August 5, 2005.
22	10 7 111 01
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	
25	12/bb:harr0242.110
26	